## UDC 511

# ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE EXPONENTIAL DIVISOR FUNCTION

Lelechenko A. V.

I.I. Mechnikov Odessa National University, Ukraine

Let  $\tau_k^{(e)}$  be a multiplicative function such that  $\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) = \sum_{d_1 \cdots d_k = a} 1$ . In the paper the generalizations of  $\tau_k^{(e)}$  over the ring of Gaussian integers are introduced. The asymptotic formulas for their average orders are established.

KEY WORDS: divisor function, Gaussian integers, asymptotic formula.

## О РАСПРЕДЕЛЕНИИ ЭКСПОНЕНЦИАЛЬНОЙ ФУНКЦИИ ДИВИЗОРОВ

Лелеченко А.В.

Пусть  $\tau_k^{(e)}$  - мультипликативная функция, такая что  $\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) = \sum_{d_1 \cdots d_k = a} 1$ . В работе содержится обобщение

 $\tau_{k}^{(e)}$  на кольцо Гауссовых целых чисел. Установлена асимптотическая формула для их средних порядков.

КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: функция делителей, Гауссовы целые числа, асимптотическая формула.

## ПРО РОЗПОДІЛ ЕКСПОНЕНЦІАЛЬНОЇ ФУНКЦІЇ ДИВИЗОРІВ

#### Лелеченко А.В.

Нехай  $\tau_k^{(e)}$  – мультиплікативна функція, така що  $\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) = \sum_{d_1 \cdots d_k = a} 1$ . В роботі наведено узагальнення  $\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) = \sum_{d_1 \cdots d_k = a} 1$ .

на кільце Гаусових цілих чисел. Отримана асимптотична формула для їх середніх порядків.

КЛЮЧОВІ СЛОВА: функція дільників, Гаусові цілі числа, асимптотична формула.

**1. Introduction**. Exponential divisor function  $\tau^{(e)}: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  introduced by Subbarao in [7] is a multiplicative function such that

$$\tau^{(e)}(p^a) = \tau(a),$$

where  $\tau : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  stands for the usual divisor function,  $\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ . Erdös estimated its maximal order and Subbarao proved an asymptotic formula for  $\sum_{n \le x} \tau^{(e)}(n)$ . Later Wu [11] gave more precise estimation:

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau^{(e)}(n) = Ax + Bx^{1/2} + O\left(x^{\theta_{1,2} + \varepsilon}\right),$$

where A and B are computable constants,  $\theta_{1,2}$  is an exponent in the error term of the estimation

$$\sum_{ab^2 \le x} 1 = \zeta(2)x + \zeta(1/2)x^{1/2} + O\left(x^{\theta_{1,2} + \varepsilon}\right)$$

The best modern result is  $\theta_{1,2} \le 1057/4785$  [2].

One can consider multidimensional exponential divisor function  $\tau_k^{(e)}:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) = \tau_k(a),$$

where  $\tau_k(n)$  is a number of ordered k-tuples of positive integers  $(d_1, ..., d_k)$  such that  $d_1 \cdots d_k = n$ . So  $\tau^{(e)} \equiv \tau_2^{(e)}$ . Toth [10] investigated asymptotic properties of  $\tau_k^{(e)}$  and proved that for arbitrarily  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau_k^{(e)}(n) = C_k x + x^{1/2} S_{k-2}(\log x) + O\left(x^{w_k} + \varepsilon\right),$$

<sup>©</sup> Lelechenko A.V., 2014.

where  $S_{k-2}$  is a polynomial of degree k-2 and  $w_k = (2k-1)/(4k+1)$ .

In the present paper we generalize multidimensional exponential divisor function over the ring of Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Namely we introduce multiplicative functions

$$\begin{split} \tau^{(e)}_{*k} &: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} , \qquad t^{(e)}_k : \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z} , \qquad t^{(e)}_{*k} : \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{such that} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{(e)}_{*k}(p^{a}) &= \mathfrak{t}_{k}(a), \\ \mathfrak{t}^{(e)}_{k}(\mathfrak{p}^{a}) &= \tau_{k}(a), \\ \mathfrak{t}^{(e)}_{*k}(\mathfrak{p}^{a}) &= \mathfrak{t}_{k}(a), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where p is prime over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , p is prime over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_k(a)$  is a number of ordered k-tuples of nonassociated in pairs Gaussian integers  $(\mathfrak{d}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{d}_k)$  such that  $\mathfrak{d}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{d}_k = a$ 

The aim of this paper is to provide asymptotic formulas for

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau_{*k}^{(e)}(n) , \quad \sum_{N(\alpha) \le x} t_k^{(e)}(\alpha) , \qquad \sum_{N(\alpha) \le x} t_{*k}^{(e)}(\alpha) ,$$

A theorem on the maximal order of multiplicative functions over  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , generalizing [8], is also proved.

Notation. Let us denote the ring of Gaussian integers by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$ .

In asymptotic relations we use  $\sim, \prec$ , Landau symbols O and o, Vinogradov symbols  $\ll$  and  $\gg$  in their usual meanings. All asymptotic relations are written for the argument tending to the infinity.

Letters p and q with or without indexes denote Gaussian primes; p and q denote rational primes.

As usual  $\zeta(s)$  is Riemann zeta-function and L(s,  $\chi$ ) is Dirichlet *L*-function for some character  $\chi$ . Let  $\chi_4$  be the single nonprincipal character modulo 4, then

$$Z(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_4)$$

is Hecke zeta-function for the ring of Gaussian integers.

Real and imaginary components of the complex s are denoted as  $\sigma := \Re s$  and  $t := \Im s$ , so  $s = \sigma + it$ .

Notation  $\sum'$  means a summation over nonassociated elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , and  $\prod'$  means the similar relative to multiplication. Notation  $a \sim b$ means that a and b are associated, that is  $a / b \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . But in asymptotic relations  $\sim$  preserve its usual meaning.

Letter  $\gamma$  denotes Euler–Mascheroni constant. Everywhere  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an arbitrarily small number (not always the same). We write  $f \star g$  for the notation of the Dirichlet convolution

$$(f \star g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n / d).$$

**2. Preliminary lemmas.** We need following auxiliary results.

**Lemma 1.** Gaussian integer  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime if and only if one of the following cases complies:

- $\mathfrak{p} \sim 1 + i$ ,
- $\mathfrak{p} \sim p$ , where  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,
- N(p) = p, where  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

In the last case there are exactly two non-associated  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  such that  $N(\mathfrak{p}_1) = N(\mathfrak{p}_2) = p$ .

**Proof.** See [1].

Lemma 2.

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \le x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x},\tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} \log N(\mathfrak{p}) \sim x, \tag{3}$$

**Proof.** Taking into account Gauss criterion and the asymptotic law of the distribution of primes in the arithmetic progression we have

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \le x} 1 \sim \# \left\{ p \mid p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, p \le \sqrt{x} \right\} + 2\# \left\{ p \mid p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, p \le x \right\} \sim$$
$$\sim \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\phi(4) \log x / 2} + 2 \frac{x}{\phi(4) \log x} = \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

A partial summation gives us the second statement of the lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $F: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$  be a multiplicative function such that  $F(p^a) = f(a)$ , where  $f(n) \ll n^{\beta}$  for some  $\beta > 0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log F(n) \log \log n}{\log n} = \sup_{n \ge 1} \frac{\log f(n)}{n}.$$
 (4)  
**Proof.** See [8].

Lemma 4. Let  $f(t) \ge 0$ . If

$$\int_{1}^{T} f(t) dt \ll g(T),$$

where  $g(T) = T^{\alpha} \log^{\beta} T$ ,  $\alpha \ge 1$ , then

$$I(T) := \int_{1}^{T} \frac{f(t)}{t} dt \ll \begin{cases} \log^{\beta+1}T & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ T^{\alpha-1} \log^{\beta}T & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$
(5)

**Proof.** Let us divide the interval of integration into parts:

$$\begin{split} I(T) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{log_2 T} \int_{T/2^{k+1}}^{T/2^k} \frac{f(t)}{t} dt < \\ &< \sum_{k=0}^{log_2 T} \frac{1}{T/2^{k+1}} \int_{1}^{T/2^k} f(t) dt \ll \sum_{k=0}^{log_2 T} \frac{g(T/2^k)}{T/2^{k+1}} \end{split}$$

Now the lemma's statement follows from elementary estimates.

**Lemma 5.** Let T > 10 and  $|d-1/2| \ll 1/\log T$ . Then we have the following estimates

$$\begin{split} &\int_{d-iT}^{d+iT} \left| \zeta(s) \right|^4 \frac{ds}{s} &\ll \quad \log^5 T, \\ &\int_{d-iT}^{d+iT} \left| L(s,\chi_4) \right|^4 \frac{ds}{s} &\ll \quad \log^5 T \end{split}$$

for growing T.

**Proof.** The statement is the result of the application of Lemma 4 to the estimates [6].

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\theta > 0$  be such value that  $\zeta(1/2+it) \ll t^{\theta}$  as  $t \to \infty$ , and let  $\eta > 0$  be arbitrarily small. Then

$$\zeta(\mathbf{s}) \ll \begin{cases} |\mathbf{t}|^{1/2 - (1 - 2\theta)\sigma}, & \sigma \in [0, 1/2], \\ |\mathbf{t}|^{2\theta(1 - \sigma)}, & \sigma \in [1/2, 1 - \eta], \\ |\mathbf{t}|^{2\theta(1 - \sigma)} \log^{2/3} |\mathbf{t}|, & \sigma \in [1 - \eta, 1], \\ \log^{2/3} |\mathbf{t}|, & \sigma \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

The same estimates are valid for  $L(s, \chi_4)$  also.

**Proof.** The statement follows from Phragmén— Lindelöf principle, exact and approximate functional equations for  $\zeta(s)$  and  $L(s, \chi_4)$ . See [4] and [9] for details.

The best modern result [3] is that  $\theta \le 32/205 + \varepsilon$ . If Riemann hypothesis holds for  $\zeta$  and for  $L(s, \chi_4)$  then  $\theta \le \varepsilon$ .

**3. Main results.** The following theorem generalizes Lemma **3** to Gaussian integers; the proof's outline follows the proof of Lemma **3** in [8].

**Theorem 7.** Let  $F:\mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{C}$  be a multiplicative function such that  $F(\mathfrak{p}^a) = f(a)$ , where  $f(n) \ll n^\beta$  for some  $\beta > 0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{\log F(\alpha) \log \log N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} = \sup_{n \ge 1} \frac{\log f(n)}{n} := K_f.$$
(6)

**Proof.** Let us fix arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Firstly, let us show that there are infinitely many  $\alpha$  such that

$$\frac{\log F(\alpha) \log \log N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} > K_f - \varepsilon.$$

By definition of  $K_f$  we can choose 1 such that  $(\log f(l))/l > K_f - \varepsilon/2$ .

It follows from (3) that for  $x \ge 2$  inequality

 $\sum\nolimits_{N(\mathfrak{p})\leq x}\log N(\mathfrak{p})>Ax$ 

holds, where 0 < A < 1.

Let q be an arbitrarily large Gaussian prime, N(q)  $\ge 2$ . Consider

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{q})} \mathbf{1}, \qquad \alpha = \prod_{\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{q})} \mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{l}}.$$

Then  $F_k(\alpha) = (f(l))^r$  and we have

$$r \log N(q) \ge \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{l} = \sum_{N(p) \le N(q)} \log N(p) > AN(q), (7)$$
$$\log F(\alpha) = r \log f(l) \ge \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{\log N(q)} \frac{\log f(l)}{l}.$$
(8)

But (7) implies

$$\log A + \log N(q) < \log \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{l} \le \log \log N(\alpha),$$

so  $\log N(q) \le \log \log N(\alpha) - \log A$ . Then it follows from (8) that

$$\log F(\alpha) > \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{\log \log N(\alpha) - \log A} \frac{\log f(l)}{l}$$

and since  $(\log f(l))/l > K_f - \varepsilon/2$  and A < 1 we have

$$\frac{\log F(\alpha) \log \log N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} > \frac{\log \log N(\alpha)}{\log \log N(\alpha) - \log A} \times (K_{f} - \varepsilon/2) > K_{f} - \varepsilon.$$

Secondly, let us show the existence of  $N(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $n \ge N(\varepsilon)$  we have

$$\frac{\log F(n) \log \log N(\alpha)}{\log N(\alpha)} < (1+\varepsilon)K_f.$$

Let us choose  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$  and  $\eta \in (0, \delta / (1 + \delta))$ . Suppose  $N(\alpha) \ge 3$ , then we define

$$\omega := \omega(\alpha) = \frac{(1+\delta)K_{f}}{\log \log N(\alpha)}, \quad \Omega := \Omega(\alpha) = \log^{1-\eta} N(\alpha).$$
  
By choice of  $\delta$  and  $n$  we have

By choice of o and n we have

$$\Omega^{\omega} = \exp(\omega \log \Omega) = \exp((1-\eta)(1+\delta)K_{f}) > e^{\kappa f}$$
  
Suppose that the canonical expansion of  $\alpha$  is

Κ.

$$\alpha \sim \mathfrak{p}_1^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{a_r} \mathfrak{q}_1^{b_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s^{b_s}$$

where  $N(\mathfrak{p}_k) \leq \Omega$  and  $N(\mathfrak{q}_k) > \Omega$ . Then

$$\frac{F(\alpha)}{N^{\omega}(\alpha)} = \prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{f(a_{k})}{N^{\omega a_{k}}(\mathfrak{p}_{k})} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{s} \frac{f(b_{k})}{N^{\omega b_{k}}(\mathfrak{q}_{k})} := \Pi_{1} \cdot \Pi_{2}.$$
(9)  
Since  $\Omega^{\omega} > e^{K_{f}}$  and  $K_{f} \ge (\log f(b_{k})) / b_{k}$  then  
 $\frac{f(b_{k})}{N^{\omega b_{k}}(\mathfrak{q}_{k})} < \frac{f(b_{k})}{\Omega^{\omega b_{k}}} < \frac{f(b_{k})}{e^{K_{f}}b_{k}} \le 1$ 

and it follows that  $\Pi_2 \leq 1$ . Consider  $\Pi_1$ . From the statement of the theorem we have  $f(n) \ll n^{\beta}$ , so

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}_{k})}{\mathbf{N}^{\omega \mathbf{a}_{k}}(\mathbf{p}_{k})} \ll \frac{\mathbf{a}_{k}^{\beta}}{(\mathbf{a}_{k}\omega)^{\beta}} \ll \omega^{-\beta}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \log \Pi_1 &\ll \Omega \log w^{-\beta} \ll \\ &\ll \log^{1-\eta} N(\alpha) \log \log \log N(\alpha) = \\ &= o \bigg( \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{\log \log N(\alpha)} \bigg). \end{split}$$
  
Finally by (9) we get  
$$\log F(n) = \omega \log n + \log \Pi_1 + \log \Pi_2 = \\ &= \frac{(1+\delta)K_f \log n}{\log \log n} + \frac{(\varepsilon - \delta)K_f \log n}{\log \log n}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 8.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{*k}^{(e)}(n) &\ll n^{\varepsilon}, \\ t_{k}^{(e)}(\alpha) &\ll N^{\varepsilon}(\alpha), \\ t_{*k}^{(e)}(\alpha) &\ll N^{\varepsilon}(\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

**Proof.** Taking into account trivial estimates  $\tau_k(n) \le n$  and  $\mathfrak{t}_k(n) \le n^2$  we have that

$$\sup_{n\geq l} \log \tau_k(n) n < \infty, \qquad \sup_{n\geq l} \log \mathfrak{t}_k(n) n < \infty.$$

Now the estimates (10) follows from Theorem 7 and Lemma  $\mathbf{3}$ .

We are ready to provide asymptotic formulas for sums of  $\tau_{*k}^{(e)}(n)$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_{k}^{(e)}(\alpha)$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_{*k}^{(e)}(\alpha)$ . Let us denote

$$G_{*k}(s) := \sum_{n} \tau_{*k}^{(e)}(n) n^{-s}, \quad T_{*k}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \tau_{*k}^{(e)}(n),$$
  

$$F_{k}(s) := \sum_{\alpha} t_{k}^{(e)}(\alpha) N^{-s}(\alpha), \quad M_{k}(x) := \sum_{N(\alpha) \le x} t_{k}^{(e)}(\alpha),$$
  

$$F_{*k}(s) := \sum_{\alpha} t_{*k}^{(e)}(\alpha) N^{-s}(\alpha), \quad M_{*k}(x) := \sum_{N(\alpha) \le x} t_{*k}^{(e)}(\alpha).$$

Lemma 9.

$$G_{*k}(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta^{(k^2+k-2)/2}(2s)\zeta^{(-k^2+k)/2}(3s) \times \zeta^{(-k^4+7k^2-6k)/12}(4s) \times (11) \times \zeta^{(5k^4-6k^3-5k^2+6k)/24}(5s)K_{*k}(s),$$

$$F_{k}(s) = Z(s)Z^{k-1}(2s)Z^{(k-k^{2})/2}(5s) \times Z^{(-k^{3}+6k^{2}-5k)/6}(6s) \times Z^{(k^{3}-4k^{2}+3k)/2}(7s) \times (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\times Z^{(3k^4-26k^3+57k^2-34k)/24}(8s)H_k(s), \\ &F_{*k}(s) = Z(s)Z^{(k^2+k-2)/2}(2s)Z^{(-k^2+k)/2}(3s)\times \\ &\times Z^{(-k^4+7k^2-6k)/12}(4s)\times \\ &\times Z^{(5k^4-6k^3-5k^2+6k)/24}(5s)H_{*k}(s), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where Dirichlet series 
$$H(s)$$
 are absolutely convergent  
for  $\Re s > 1/9$  and Dirichlet series for  $H_*(s)$ ,  $K_*(s)$   
are absolutely convergent for  $\Re s > 1/6$ .

**Proof.** The statements can be verified by direct computation of Bell series of corresponding functions. For example, Bell series for  $\mathfrak{t}_{k}^{(e)}$  have the following representation:

$$\begin{split} & \left(\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} t_{k}^{(e)}(\mathfrak{p}^{a}) x^{a}\right) (1-x)(1-x^{2})^{k-1}(1-x^{5})^{(k-k^{2})/2} \times \\ & \times (1-x^{6})^{(-k^{3}+6k^{2}-5k)/6} \times (1-x^{7})^{(k^{3}-4k^{2}+3k)/2} \times \\ & \times (1-x^{8})^{(3k^{4}-26k^{3}+57k^{2}-34k)/24} = 1 + O(x^{9}). \end{split}$$

## Theorem 10.

$$T_{*k}(x) = A_k x + x^{1/2} P_k(\log x) O(x^{w_k} + \varepsilon), \quad (14)$$

where  $P_k$  is a polynomial, deg  $P_k = (k^2 + k - 4)/2$ , and

$$w_{k} = \frac{k^{2} + k - 1}{2k^{2} + 2k + 1}.$$
  
*Proof.* Let  $1 = (k^{2} + k - 2)/2$ ,  $\mathbf{a} = (1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{1})$ .

Identity (11) implies

$$\tau_{*k}^{(e)} = \tau(\mathbf{a}; \cdot) \star \mathbf{f}, \qquad T_{*k}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n \le \mathbf{x}} T(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{x} / n) \mathbf{f}(n)$$
(15)

where

$$\tau(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}_0 \mathbf{d}_1^2 \cdots \mathbf{d}_l^2 = \mathbf{n}}} 1,$$
  
$$T(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \le \mathbf{x}}} \tau(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}_0 \mathbf{d}_1^2 \cdots \mathbf{d}_l \le \mathbf{x}}} 1,$$

and the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-\sigma}$  are absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1/3$ . Due to [5] we have

$$T(\mathbf{a}; x) = C_1 x + x^{1/2} Q(\log x) + O(x^{w_k + \mathcal{E}}), \quad (16)$$

where Q is a polynomial,  $\deg Q = 1-1$ , and

$$w_k = \frac{2l+1}{4l+5} \, .$$
 For  $k \ge 2$  we have  $w_k > 1/3$  .

One can get the following estimates:

$$\sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)}{n} = O\left(x^{-2/3+\varepsilon} \sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)}{n^{1/3+\varepsilon}}\right) = O(x^{-2/3+\varepsilon}), (17)$$
$$\sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)\log^a n}{n^{1/2}} = O\left(x^{-1/6+\varepsilon} \sum_{n>x} \frac{f(n)\log^a n}{n^{1/3+\varepsilon}}\right) = O(x^{-1/6+\varepsilon}). (18)$$

for  $a \ge 0$ .

Finally, substituting estimates (16), (17) and (18) into (15) we get

$$\begin{split} T_{*k}(x) &= C_1 x \sum_{n \le x} \frac{f(n)}{n} + x^{1/2} \sum_{n \le x} \frac{f(n)Q(\log(x/n))}{n^{1/2}} + \\ O(x^{w_k + \mathcal{E}}) &= A_k x + x^{1/2} P_k(\log x) + O(x^{w_k + \mathcal{E}}). \end{split}$$

## Lemma 11.

 $\operatorname{res}_{s=1}^{s} F_{k}(s)x^{s} / s = C_{k}x, \qquad \operatorname{res}_{s=1}^{s} F_{*k}(s)x^{s} / s = C_{*k}x, \ (19)$ where

$$C_{k} = \frac{\pi}{4} \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{k}(a) - \tau_{k}(a-1)}{N^{a}(p)} \right), \quad (20)$$

$$C_{*k} = \frac{\pi}{4} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{t}_k(a) - \mathfrak{t}_k(a-1)}{N^a(\mathfrak{p})} \right).$$
(21)

*Proof.* As a consequence of the representation (12) we have

$$\frac{F_k(s)}{Z(s)} = \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_k(a)}{N^{as}(\mathfrak{p})} \right) (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{-1}) =$$
$$= \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_k(a) - \tau_k(a - 1)}{N^{as}(\mathfrak{p})} \right),$$

and so function  $F_k(s)/Z(s)$  is regular in the neighbourhood of s = 1. At the same time we have

$$\operatorname{res}_{s=1}^{res} Z(s) = L(1, \chi_4) \operatorname{res}_{s=1}^{res} \zeta(s) = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

which implies (20). The proof of (21) is similar.

## Theorem 12.

$$M_k(x) = C_k x + O(x^{1/2} \log^{3+4(k-1)/3} x),$$
 (22)

 $M_{*k}(x) = C_{*k}x + O(x^{1/2}\log^{3+2(k^2+k-2)/3}x),$  (23) where  $C_k$  and  $C_{*k}$  were defined in (20) and (21).

**Proof.** By Perron formula and by (10) for  $c = 1 + 1/\log x$ ,  $\log T \approx \log x$  we have

$$M_{k}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} F_{k}(s) \frac{x^{s}}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\right).$$

Suppose  $d = 1/2 - 1/\log x$ . Let us shift the interval of integration to [d-iT, d+iT]. To do this consider an integral about a closed rectangle path with vertexes in d-iT, d+iT, c+iT and c-iT. There are two poles in s = 1 and s = 1/2 inside the contour. The residue at s = 1 was calculated in (19). The residue at s = 1/2 is equal to  $Dx^{1/2}$ , *D* is constant, and will be absorbed by error term (see below).

Identity (12) implies

$$F_k(s) = Z(s)Z^{k-1}(2s)L_k(s)$$
,

where  $L_k(s)$  is regular for  $\Re s > 1/3$ , so for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  it is uniformly bounded for  $\Re s > 1/3 + \varepsilon$ .

Let us estimate the error term using Lemma 5 and Lemma 6. The error term absorbs values of integrals about three sides of the integration's rectangle. We take into account  $Z(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_4)$ . On the horizontal segments we have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{d+iT}^{c+iT} Z(s) Z^{k-1}(2s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds & \ll \\ & \ll \max_{\sigma \in [d,c]} Z(\sigma + iT) Z^{k-1}(2\sigma + 2iT) x^{\sigma} T^{-1} \ll \\ & \ll x^{1/2} T^{2\theta - 1} \log^{4(k-1)/3} T + x T^{-1} \log^{4/3} T, \end{split}$$

It is well-known that  $\zeta(s) \sim (s-1)^{-1}$  in the neighborhood of s = 1. So on the vertical segment we

have the following estimates. Near pole one can calculate that

$$\int_{d}^{d+i} Z(s) Z^{k-1}(2s) \frac{x^{s}}{s} ds \ll x^{1/2} \int_{0}^{1} \zeta^{k-1} (2d+2it) dt \ll$$
$$\ll x^{1/2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dt}{|it-1/\log x|^{k-1}} \ll x^{1/2} \log^{k-1} x,$$

and for the rest of the vertical segment we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{d+i}^{d+iT} Z(s) Z^{k-1}(2s) \frac{x^3}{s} ds \ll \\ &\ll \left( \int_1^T |\zeta(1/2+it)|^4 \frac{dt}{t} \int_1^T |L(1/2+it,\chi_4)|^4 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/4} \times \\ &\times \left( \int_1^T |Z(1+2it)|^{2(k-1)} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} \ll \\ &\ll x^{1/2} \left( \log^5 T \cdot \log^{8(k-1)/3+1} T \right)^{1/2} \ll \\ &\ll x^{1/2} \log^{3+4(k-1)/3} T. \\ & \text{The choice } T = x^{1/2+\varepsilon} \text{ finishes the proof of (22).} \end{split}$$

The proof of (23) is similar, but due to (13) one have replace k-1 by  $(k^2 + k-2)/2$ .

### REFERENCES

- Gauss C. F. Theoria residuorum biquadraticorum, Commentatio secunda Commentationes soc. reg. sc. Gotting. recentiores. – 1832. – v. 7.
- Graham S. W., Kolesnik G. On the difference between consecutive squarefree integers *Acta Arith.* – 1988. – Vol. 49, N5. – P. 435–447.
- Huxley M. N. Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function V *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* – 2005. – Vol. 90, N1. – P. 1–41.
- Ivić A. The Riemann zeta-function: Theory and applications. Dover Publications, Mineola, New York. – 2003. – 562 p.
- Krätzel E. Lattice points. Kluwer, Dordrecht. 1988. – 436 p.
- Montgomery H. L. *Topics in multiplicative number* theory. Springer Verlag, 1971. – v. 227. – 178 p.
- Subbarao M. V. On some arithmetic convolutions The theory of arithmetical functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Verlag. – 1972. – v. 251. – P. 247–271.
- Suryanarayana D., Rao R. Sita Rama Chandra. On the true maximum order of a class of arithmetic functions *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* – 1975. – N17. – P. 95–101.
- Titchmarsh E. C. The theory of the Riemann zetafunction, Ed. by D. R. Heath-Brown. 2<sup>nd</sup> rev. edition. Oxford University Press, New-York. – 1986. – 418 p.
- Tóth L. An order result for the exponential divisor function. *Publ. Math. Debrecen.* – 2007. – v. 71, N1–2. – P. 165–171.
- Wu J. Problème de diviseurs exponentiels et entiers exponentiellement sans facteur carré J. Théor. Nombres Bordx. - 1995. - v. 7, N1. - P. 133-141.